CRRT Modalities

Farín Rashíd Farokhí Assocíate Professor of Nephrology Masíh Daneshvarí Hospítal Shahíd Beheshtí Uníversíty of Medical Science

Case presentation

- A 56 year-old-man with a medical history of DM, HTN, and IHD was admitted to the ICU with a diagnosis of pneumo-sepsis. His body weight at the time of hospital admission was 72 kg. He underwent mechanical ventilation and received wide spectrum antibiotics. A CT-scan with intravenous contrast had been performed before transferring to the ICU, showing bilateral ground glass opacities. At the time of nephrology consultation on the third day of ICU admission, there was anuria for the previous 24 hours. Other findings included the followings:
- BP: 80/40 (dependent to vasopressors)
- Peripheral edema: +3
- Cumulative fluid balance: +8 lit
- PH: 7.08, HCO3: 10 mEq/L
- WBC: 14000/μL , Hgb: 10.3 g/dL, HCT: 30%
- BUN: 120 mg/dL, Creatinine: 2.2 mg/dL
- Na:134 mEq/L, K: 6 mEq/L

Case presentation

 A 56 year-old-man with a medical history of DM, HTN, and IHD was admitted to the ICU with a diagnosis of pneumo-sepsis. His body weight at the time of hospital admission was 72 kg. He underwent mechanical ventilation and received wide spectrum antibiotics. A CT-scan with intravenous contrast had been performed before transferring to the ICU, showing bilateral ground glass opacities. At the time of nephrology consultation on the third day of ICU admission, there was anuria for the previous 24 hours. Other findings included the followings:

There are many risk factors

for development of AKI

- BP: 80/40 (dependent to vasopressors)
- Peripheral edema: +3
- Cumulative fluid balance: +8 lit
- PH: 7.08, HCO3: 10 mEq/L
- WBC: 14000/μL , Hgb: 10.3 g/dL, HCT: 30%
- BUN: 120 mg/dL, Creatinine: 2.2 mg/dL
- Na:134 mEq/L,K: 6 mEq/L

RRT (especially CRRT) is not fast enough in terms of correcting life threatening electrolyte disorders. Hyperkalemia of this patient should be medically treated as soon as possible, and the potassium level should be monitored during RRT.

CRRT was started for the presented patient with the following order

-Mode: CVVH -Blood flow: 100 cc/min -Replacement fluid: 1800 cc/h, post-dilution -Net UF: 100 cc/h -Membrane: High-flux , K_f: 80 cc/mmHg/h -Anticoagulation: heparin 2000 IU heparin as loading dose, then 400 IU/h, adjusted by PTT



Is the above order an appropriate prescription for the patient?



Prescription of CRRT

• Initial prescription

- Frequent reassessment of the the response to prescribed CRRT dose
- --the ratio of delivered to prescribed dose
- --effective CRRT treatment time
- --other measures of solute control
- --additional modifications based on clinical needs



Transport mechanisms in CRRT

- o Ultrafiltration
- o Diffusion
- Convection
- o Absorption

Multiple CRRT modalities differ from each other according to mechanisms of solute transport.

Diffusion flux is influenced by concentration gradient, molecular size and membrane type, blood and dialysate flow







Cut-off:

max. molecular size, which can pass through a membrane.

- In low-flux filters up to 10 kDa
- In high-flux filters up to 40-60 kDa



- Adsorptive surface area of a membrane depends on the internal pore structures rather than its nominal surface area.
- Adsorption of peptides and low molecular proteins to low-flux membranes is not expected to be clinically significant.

Adsorption

Molecular adherence to the surface or interior of the membrane.



Different membrane performance



The birth of CRRT

1960: The idea of CRRT was born, but supplies and technology were not available.

1977: An arteriovenous hemofiltration firstly described by Pitter Kramer and his colleagues.



1977 Kramer et al The first patient treated with CAVH in Gottingen (Germany)

Continuous Arteriovenous Hemofiltration CAVH



UF: 8-12 cc/min

Developments of CAVH technique during 1980s







Advantages of continuous veno-venous hemofiltration to arterio-venous hemofiltration

- Higher blood flow
- constant blood flow
- Eliminated risk of arterial bleeding and embolization
- Less anticoagulation requirement

Continuous Venovenous Hemodiafiltration CVVHDF



Pumps and ultrafiltration control system for CRRT



First generation machines for CRRT, late 1980s







Recent generation of machines for CRRT

Safe, reliable and easy performanceAutomatically priming







Continues Veno-venous Hemodialysis (CVVHD)

- Duration: 24 hours to several days
- Membrane: high-flux/low-flux
- ✓ Blood flow rate: 100-250 cc/min
- Net UF rate: o-300cc/hour
- ✓ Dialysate fluid rate: 1000-2000 cc/h
- Replacement fluid rate: o



effluent flow rate = net ultrafiltration+ dialysate flow rate filter clearance= effluent flow rate x sieving coefficient sieving coefficient = the ratio of solute concentration in the ultra-filtrate to plasma

Mechanism of solute transport in CVVHD is diffusion.

Intracellular shift of water during conventional hemodialysis may aggravate volume depletion



There is no rapid change in osmolality during CRRT. Thus, hypotension is not aggravated by intracellular fluid shift.

Advantages of CRRT as compared with intermittent RRT in critically ill patients

- Slow and smooth removal of fluid removal and excess uremic toxins
- Continuous function, simulating normal kidney function
- Decreased rate of intradialytic hypotension
- Superiority in patients with hemodynamic instability, high intracranial pressure, and acute brain injury
- Lower risk of kidney non-recovery?
- Reduced risk of dialysis dependence among survivors?

Studies designed to compare continuous versus intermittent therapies have not shown a beneficial effect on mortality.

Disadvantages of CRRT

• Continuous anticoagulation and increased risk of bleeding

- Drug removal
- Immobilization of patients for prolonged time
- Increased cost?





Solute clearance increases by blood flow rate during conventional intermittent hemodialysis. But in CVVHD, it depends on dialysate flow rate.

Continues Veno-venous Hemofiltration (CVVH)



- Mechanism of solute transport in CVVH is convection that is associated with greater clearance of higher molecular weight solutes.
- Membrane survival is worse than CVVHD because in CVVH water loss toward the end of hemofilter can induce hemoconcentration and membrane clothing.

-Mode: CVVH -Blood flow: 100 cc/min -Replacement fluid: 1800 cc/h, post-dilution -Net UF: 100 cc/h -Membrane: High-flux , K_f: 80 cc/mmHg/h -Anticoagulation: heparin 2000 IU heparin as loading dose, then 400 IU/h, adjusted by PTT



-Mode: CVVH -Blood flow: 100 cc/min -Replacement fluid: 1800 cc/h, post-dilution -Net UF: 100 cc/h -Membrane: High-flux , K_f: 80 cc/mmHg/h -Anticoagulation: heparin 2000 IU heparin as loading dose, then 400 IU/h, adjusted by PTT



effluent rate = $K_f X TMP$

K _f: ultrafiltration coefficient of membrane

TMP: transmembrane pressure

1800 cc/h+100 cc= 80 cc/mmHg/h x TMP mmHg

TMP= 24 mmHg

Is the following prescription appropriate to met adequate dose of CRRT?

-Mode: CVVH -Blood flow: 100 cc/min -Replacement fluid: 1800 cc/h, post-dilution -Net UF: 100 cc/h -Membrane: High-flux , K_f: 80 cc/mmHg/h -Anticoagulation: heparin 2000 IU heparin as loading dose, then 400 IU/h, adjusted by PTT



- The adequate dose of CRRT may be represented by the volume of blood purified per unite of time.
- In clinical practice the dose of CRRT is the effluent flow rate.



effluent flow rate = net ultrafiltration+ replacement flow rate filter clearance= effluent flow rate x sieving coefficient sieving coefficient = the ratio of solute concentration in the ultra-filtrate to plasma In 2000, Ronco et al have shown that the higher delivered dose of CRRT is superior to 20 cc/kg/h in improving the survival rate.



The following major multi-centric RCTs showed that increasing dose intensity above 20-25 mL/kg/h dose not deliver clinical benefits to critically ill patients

○ATN-CVVHDF in the USA (2008)

oRENAL-CVVH in Australia and New Zealand (2009)

OIVOIRE-CVVHF in France, Belgium, Netherland (2013)

Interruption of CRRT treatment due to the following reasons can have a substantial impact on the actual delivered dose of CRRT.

Circuit clotting
Machine alarms
Change of replacement solutions
Radiologic investigations and/or surgical procedures

In clinical practice, in order to achieve a delivered dose of 20-25 cc/kg/h, it is necessary to prescribe CRRT in a range of 25-30 cc/kg/h

Is the following prescription appropriate to met adequate dose of CRRT?



-Mode: CVVH -Blood flow: 100 cc/min -Replacement fluid: 1800 cc/h, post-dilution -Net UF: 100 cc/h -Membrane: High-flux , K_f: 80 cc/mmHg/h -Anticoagulation: heparin 2000 IU heparin as loading dose, then 400 IU/h, adjusted by PTT



effluent flow rate = net ultrafiltration+ replacement flow rate effluent flow rate= 100+1800=1900 cc Effluent flow rate/Body weight =1900/72= 26.4 cc/kg/h

In clinical practice, in order to achieve a delivered dose of 20-25 cc/kg/h, it is necessary to prescribe CRRT in a range of 25-30 cc/kg/h

What is the difference between pre and post-dilution?

-Mode: CVVH -Blood flow: 100 cc/min -Replacement fluid: 1800 cc/h, post-dilution -Net UF: 100 cc/h -Membrane: High-flux , K_f: 80 cc/mmHg/h -Anticoagulation: heparin 2000 IU heparin as loading dose, then 400 IU/h, adjusted by PTT





A combination of predilution and post dilution can be considered

Concentration polarization

• In patients with a high effluent rate to plasma flow (>30%), some loss of clearance will occur as proteins and cells are pushed against the membrane by TMP and formed a proteinaceous layer (so called concentration polarization).

• Concentration polarization decreases membrane permeability due to lowering pores' sizes and numbers.





Augmented blood flow in pre-dilution method create a relatively high membrane shear rate, which reduces solute membrane interaction.





effluent rate: 1900 cc/hour or 1900/60= 32 cc/min

effluent rate to plasma flow rate= 32/70= 45% filtration fraction= 45%

Filtration fraction should not exceed 20-25%. High filtration fraction correspond to higher hematocrit toward end of membrane, which decrease the life of the filter and promote clot formation.

How we can correct this prescription to reduce filtration fraction?

-Mode: CVVH -Blood flow: 100 cc/min -Replacement fluid: 1800 cc/h, post-dilution -Net UF: 100 cc/h -Membrane: High-flux , K_f: 80 cc/mmHg/h -Anticoagulation: heparin 2000 IU heparin as loading dose, then 400 IU/h, adjusted by PTT



Increasing blood flow rateApplying pre-dilution

-Mode: CVVH -Blood flow: **150 cc/min** -Replacement fluid: **1800 cc/h**, **pre-dilution** -Net UF: **100 cc/h** -Membrane: High-flux , K_f: **80 cc/mmHg/h** -Anticoagulation: heparin 2000 IU heparin as loading dose, then 400 IU/h, adjusted by PTT





Replacement flow rate: 1900 cc/hour or 1900/60= 32 cc/min Plasma flow + pre-filter replacement flow=105+32=137 cc/min filtration fraction = 32/137 = 23%



-Mode: CVVH -Blood flow: 150 cc/min -Replacement fluid: 1800 cc/h, pre-dilution -Net UF: 100 cc/h -Membrane: High-flux , K_f: 80 cc/mmHg/h -Anticoagulation: heparin 2000 IU heparin as loading dose, then 400 IU/h, adjusted by PTT

> Urea clearance with previous order: 1.9 lit/hour Urea clearance with the new order:1.44 lit/hour

> > 105 / (105+32) =76% 1.9 x 76%= 1.44 lit/hour=1440 cc/h 1440/72= 20 cc/kg/h



In clinical practice, in order to achieve a delivered dose of 20-25 cc/kg/h, it is necessary to prescribe CRRT in a range of 25-30 cc/kg/h

How the new prescription can affect CRRT adequacy?

-Mode: CVVH -Blood flow: 250 cc/min -Replacement fluid: 1800 cc/h, pre-dilution -Net UF: 100 cc/h -Membrane: High-flux , K_f: 80 cc/mmHg/h -Anticoagulation: heparin 2000 IU heparin as loading dose, then 400 IU/h, adjusted by PTT

Urea clearance with the new order:1.6 lit/hour

175/ (175+32) =84.5% 1.9x84.5%= 1.6 lit/hour=1600 cc/h 1600/72= 22.2 cc/kg/h



Continues Veno-venous Hemodiafiltration (CVVHDF)

- Duration: 24 hours to several days
- Membrane: high-flux
- Blood flow rate: 100-250 cc/min
- Dialysate flow: 1000-2000 cc/hour
- Net UF rate: o-300 cc/hour
- Replacement fluid volume:1000-2000 cc/hour
- Replacement fluid infusion site: predilution and/or postdilution



effluent flow rate = net ultrafiltration + replacement flow rate + dialysate flow rate

Mechanism of solute transport in CVVHDF is combination of convection and diffusion.

Slow Continuous Ultrafiltration (SCUF)



- **SCUF** is being used increasingly as an adjunctive therapy in patients with refractory heart failure.
- During hemofiltration a maximum filtration fraction of 25% is usually considered to prevent hemoconcentration and the resulted filter clotting.
- As in **SCUF** the Uf rate is less than 10 cc/min, a blood flow rate of 50 cc/min may be adequate to maintain the filtration fraction less than 25%

Blood flow pump



Ultrafiltration pump





Dialysate/replacement fluid pump



Balancing systems provide ultrafiltration control



BBRAUN	THERAPY SELECTION
CONTINUOUS D	HALYSIS THERAPIES
CAAHD	SCUF
CVVHFD	CAAH
SILLET MID CONFLIME	
Contraction of the second state	BACK SELECTION





CVVHDF (continuous veno-venous high-flux dialysis)

 In addition to diffusive clearance, there is ultrafiltration and convective clearance in the proximal part of the dialyzer and back filtration more distally (like replacement fluid in CVVHDF) Friedrich et al. Critical Care 2012, 16:R146 http://ccforum.com/content/16/4/R146



RESEARCH

Open Access

Hemofiltration compared to hemodialysis for acute kidney injury: systematic review and meta-analysis

Jan O Friedrich^{1,2,3,4,5*}, Ron Wald^{1,2,4}, Sean M Bagshaw⁶, Karen EA Burns^{1,2,3,4,5} and Neill KJ Adhikari^{1,5,7}

	Homofiltr	ation	Homodi	alveie		Dick Datio	Dick Patio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	IV Random 95% Cl	N Random 95% Cl
1.1.1 Similar Dose Filt	ration vs D	ialysis	LYCING	Total	Weight	TV, Tunuoni, 55% Cr	14,1414011,35% 01
Daud 2006 [25]	7	9	10	11	14.7%	0.86 (0.58, 1.27)	
Morgera 2004 [24]	6	12	6	12	6.1%	1.00 [0.45, 2.23]	
OMAKI 2012 [30]	22	39	20	38	14.3%	1.07 [0.71, 1.61]	
Subtotal (95% CI)		60		61	35.1%	0.96 [0.73, 1.25]	
Total events	35		36				
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 1 Test for overall effect: 2	0.00; Chi ^z = Z = 0.30 (P	= 0.61, d = 0.76)	f= 2 (P =	0.74); I²:	= 0%		
1.1.2 Similar Dose Filt	ration vs D	ialysis-l	iltration				
Chang 2009 [27]	26	47	26	49	15.7%	1.04 [0.72, 1.51]	
Subtotal (95% CI)		47		49	15.7%	1.04 [0.72, 1.51]	-
Total events	26		26				
Heterogeneity: Not app	olicable						
Test for overall effect: 2	Z = 0.22 (P	= 0.82)					
1.1.3 Similar Dose (Int	ermittent)	Dialysis	-Filtratio	n vs Dial	ysis		
Pettila 2001 [23]	12	21	4	17	4.8%	2.43 [0.95, 6.18]	
Ratanarat 2012 [29]	10	27	18	33	9.6%	0.68 [0.38, 1.22]	
Subtotal (95% CI)		48		50	14.4%	1.22 [0.35, 4.22]	
Total events	22		22				
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = I	0.65; Chi ² =	= 5.15, d	f=1 (P=	0.02); l²:	= 81%		
Test for overall effect: 2	Z = 0.31 (P	= 0.76)					
1.1.4 Filtration vs High	er-Dose Da	ailysis-F	iltration				
Davenport 1993 [21]	7	8	9	11	15.2%	1.07 [0.73, 1.57]	
Saudan 2006 [26]	67	102	43	104	19.6%	1.59 [1.21, 2.08]	
Subtotal (95% CI)		110		115	34.8%	1.34 [0.91, 1.96]	-
Total events	74		52				
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = I	0.05; Chi ² =	= 2.76, d	f=1 (P=	0.10); l²:	= 64%		
Test for overall effect: 2	Z=1.47 (P	= 0.14)					
Total (95% CI)		265		275	100.0%	1.10 [0.88, 1.38]	+
Total events	157		136				
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = I	0.05; Chi ² =	13.96,	df = 7 (P =	= 0.05); P	²= 50%		
Test for overall effect 2	Z = 0.87 (P	= 0.38)					U.Z U.S 1 Z 5 Eavoure Hemofiltration Eavoure Hemodialveic
Test for subgroup diffe	rences: Ch	ni² = 1.97	7. df = 3 (F	^o = 0.58)	, I² = 0%		
Figure 2 Effect of hemofiltration vs. hemodialysis RRT on mortality. The pooled risk ratio was calculated using a random-effects model. Weight refers to the contribution of each study to the overall estimate of treatment effect. Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; IV, inverse variance.							

Anticoagulation in CRRT:

- Disturbed hemostasis in patients receiving CRRT is the consequence of a complex interaction of critical illness, uremia, and extra-corporal circuit.
- The goals of ideal anticoagulation are the followings
 - optimized circuit patency
 - reduced risk of bleeding
 - easy monitoring
 - minimal side effects
 - available antidotes

Most common sites for potential thrombosis

Venous access

Preventive strategies:

•The use of large-bore central vein catheters in the in right jugular or right/left femoral positions is recommended.

•The length of femoral catheters for optimal flow rate should be 25-35 cm.

•The right position for the tip of catheter is inferior vena cava for femoral and right atrium for jugular catheters.

Dialyzer

Preventive strategies:

- •The use of biocompatible membrane
- •Pre-dilution, saline flushes, higher blood flow rate and lower filtration fracture

•Surface coating with heparin membranes

Citrate anticoagulation





Venous air trap

Preventive strategies: Lack of supportive evidence

•Keep venous chamber full of blood, minimizing the gas packet

•Add post dilution fluids directly into this chamber

•Add heparin into chambers during the priming procedure

Advantages and disadvantages of various anticoagulation during CRRT

Drug	Advantages	Disadvantages
Unfractionated heparin	Widely used, less expensive, shorter half-life, reversible, easy monitoring (aPTT or ACT)	Risk for bleeding, unpredictable action, heparin resistance, HIT
Low-molecular- weight heparin	More reliable anticoagulation, reduced risk for HIT	Cumulative effect, expensive, anti-Xa monitoring needed
Citrate	Regional anticoagulation, low bleeding risk	Metabolic acidosis and hypocalcemia (especially in hepatic failure patients), hypernatremia, metabolic alkalosis
Alternative agents Argatroban		
Danaparoid	Safe and effective	Cost
Recombinant hirudin	Lack of experience in most centers	

Abbreviations: ACT, activated clotting time; aPTT, activated partial thromboplastin time; CRRT, continuous renal replacement

	LOADING DOSE	MAINTENANCE DOSE	MONITORING	TARGET					
Unfractionated heparin	2000–5000 IU	5–10 IU/kg/hr	APTT	1–1.4 times normal					
Dalteparin	15-25 IU/kg	5 IU/kg/hr	Anti-Xa	0.25-0.35 IU/mL					
Nadroparin	15-25 IU/kg	5 IU/kg/hr	Anti-Xa	0.25-0.35 IU/mL					
Enoxaparin	0.15 mg/kg	0.05 mg/kg/hr	Anti-Xa	0.25-0.35 IU/mL					
Alternative Anticoagulants in Case of	Alternative Anticoagulants in Case of HIT(T)								
Argatroban	100 µg/kg	0.25–1 μg/kg/min	APTT	1–1.4 times normal					
Fondaparinux	No loading	2.5 mg/day	Anti-Xa	Anti-Xa					
		1.25 mg/day after 1–2 days		0.25-0.35 IU/mL					
Danaparoid	750 U	1–2 U/kg/hr	Anti-Xa	Anti-Xa					
				0.25–0.35 IU/mL					
Nafamostat	No loading	0.1-0.5 mg/kg/hr	APTT	1–1.4 times normal					
Anticoagulation for Combined Kidney and Liver Failure and an Increased Bleeding Risk									
Prostacyclin	No loading	3–5 ng/kg/min	Thromboelastography						
Regional Anticoagulation									
Sodium citrate	No loading	2.5–4 mmol/L blood flow	Postfilter iCa or fixed dose	0.25–0.35 mmol/L					

Dosing and Monitoring of Anticoagulation

APTT, Activate partial thromboplastin time; HIT(T), heparin-induced thrombocytopenia (and thrombosis).



The KDIGO guidelines suggested regional citrate anticoagulation rather than heparin in patients with no contraindication for citrate.

- Citrate anticoagulation is the first choice anticoagulation during CRRT.
- It is associated with decreased risk of bleeding.
- The membrane life is longer with citrate anticoagulation.
- The main limitation of citrate anticoagulation is accumulation, developing in case of hypoperfusion or liver dysfunction.
- Citrate accumulation is associated with a decrease in iCa, a rise in total Ca, increase in total/iCa, and metabolic acidosis.
- If total Ca/iCa >2.5, citrate should be reduced or discontinued

	Gambro	o (Baxter)	NxStage	B. Braun	
<u>.</u>	^ª PrismaSol BGK/B22K/ BK	^b PrismaSATE BGK/B22K/ BK	^b RFP 400-456	^b Duosol 4551-4556	
Na ⁺ , mEg/L	140	140	130-140	140-136	
K ⁺ , mEq/L	0-4	0-2-4	0-4	0-4	
Cl ⁻ , mEg/L	108-113	108-120.5	108.5-120.5	109-117	
Lactate, mEq/L	3	3	0	0	
Bicarbonate, mEq/L	22-32	22-32	25-35	35-25	
Ca ²⁺ , mEq/L	0-2.5-3.5	0-2.5-3.5	0-3	3-0	
Mg ⁺ , mEq/L	1.0-1.2-1.5	1.0-1.2-1.5	1-1.5	1-1.5	
Dextrose, g/dL	0-1	0-1.1	1	1-0	

Examples of available replacement/dialysate fluids for CRRT



Am J Kidney Dis. 2016;68(4):645-657

